Compliance & Enforcement

Public Health Apprentice Course

Overview

- **Chapter 8, Compliance & Enforcement**
  - Purpose and frequency
  - Evaluation and self-evaluation forms
  - Access to facilities
  - Types of evaluations and procedures
  - Determining and assigning ratings
  - Reevaluations
  - Aircraft evaluations

Purpose of Evaluations

- Prevent FBIs
- Ensure standards are met and maintained
- Evaluate effectiveness of foodhandler training
- Provide opportunity for evaluator to recommend needed corrections
- Opportunity for interaction

Frequency of Evaluations

- Determined by local Aerospace Medicine Council
- Based on risk and number of patrons
- Based on presence of HACCP Plan

Evaluation Forms

- **AF Form 977, Food Facility Report (Current version - Feb 94)**
  - Services and MWR facilities
  - DeCA facilities
  - AAFES facilities
  - Corporate facilities (Burger King, McDonalds, Popeye's, Baskin Robbins, etc.)

Self Evaluations

- Should be conducted daily, but must be documented *at least once a week*
- Acceptable Forms
  - AF Form 977
  - AAFES Form 6500-6
  - Corporate checklists
  - Locally approved forms

Access to Facilities

- Public Health personnel are permitted at *anytime*
- Manager or supervisor *must* accompany the evaluator
- Coordination with QAE

Types of Evaluations

- Informal (Walk-through)
  - → Note findings on last AF Form 977
  - New AF Form 977
- Formal
  - Observe all aspects of operation
  - Manager accompaniment
  - Document findings
  - Assign a rating

Evaluation Procedures

- Identify yourself
- Request a representative
- Establish a pattern
- Evaluate all areas
  - → Place emphasis on preparation, holding, and serving of PHFs
  - Take temperatures!
- Complete the AF Form 977…

AF Form 977
Food Facility Report

- Provide name, date, time, location
- Determine and mark discrepancies
- Remarks Section
  - → Explain **what** the discrepancy is
  - Explain **why** it is a discrepancy
  - Recommend **short and long term** corrective actions
  - ✔ Cross-reference table of contents and Annex 3 (PH reasons)

Determining and Assigning Ratings

- Excellent
  - ***Exceeds*** the standard
- Satisfactory
  - Minor discrepancies noted
- Marginal
  - ***Downward trend*** in sanitation
  - ***No critical defects noted!***

Determining and Assigning Ratings

- Unsatisfactory
  - **1 or more** critical items noted
  - Critical Items are noted by an asterisk (*)
  - Justifies an unsatisfactory rating or explanation

Imminent hazard = Immediate corrective action

Evaluation Procedures cont'd

- Both sign the AF Form 977
- Duplicate, leave the original
- Reporting of marginal and unsatisfactory ratings
  - → Route through chain of command to Base Commander
  - Send copy to contracting authority, HQ AAFES, or HQ DeCA

Reevaluations

- Marginal rating
  - → Within 5 working days
- Unsatisfactory rating
  - Within 24 hours
  - Sufficient time to correct the defect as determined by PH
  - Only follow-up on the critical items
  - Unnecessary if critical items were corrected on the spot

Aircraft Evaluations

- No USAF standards exist
- AMC/SGPM will provide guidance
  - Proper foodhandling and storage
  - Common sense/sound judgement
- Unsatisfactory reports are routed to numbered Air Force

Summary

- **Chapter 8, Compliance & Enforcement**
  - Purpose and frequency
  - Evaluation and self-evaluation forms
  - Access to facilities
  - Types of evaluations and procedures
  - Determining and assigning ratings
  - Reevaluations
  - Aircraft evaluations